



UCI Samueli School of Engineering | Department of Materials Science and Engineering



Tantalum Coated Zirconia to Toughen Dental Implants

Gabriel Reginato, Kimberly Kwandy, Haoyang Sun, Dean Osilla, Anthea Segger, Lara Victorino (All MSE Majors)

MOTIVATION, CURRENT STATE OF THE ART & PROJECT GOALS

Currently, around 50% (~178 million) of all Americans are missing at least 1 tooth, with 12% (~40 million) of all Americans missing all of their teeth.

Zirconia is a safer alternative to titanium for dental implants.

- Inert; will not trigger allergic reactions in the body or corrode
- More brittle than titanium

Our goal is to improve the fracture toughness of zirconia dental implants by $\geq 80\%$. Increasing the fracture toughness means the implant will last longer.

So why Tantalum? In Y-TZP (Yttria stabilized zirconia polycrystal), yttria is added to zirconia to stabilize the tetragonal phase. Adding tantalum as an additional dopant will achieve phase stability and even greater toughness through a phase transformation toughening mechanism.

CHARACTERIZATION -

SEM (Scanning Electron Microscopy) is used to look at grain size.

XRD (X-Ray Diffraction) determines the phases present in each sample.

Nanoindentation allows us to measure the fracture toughness, elastic modulus, and hardness.

Raman spectroscopy visualizes how phase composition changes after transformation toughening occurs.



The two materials currently used for dental implants are **zirconia** and **titanium**.



Figure 4. Non-sintered doped samples, with a darker pink color indicating a higher dopant concentration

TEAM ROLES & CONTACT INFO

Name	Role & Specialization	Email
Gabriel Reginato	Nanoindentation & Raman	greginat@uci.edu
Anthea Segger	Nanoindentation & Raman	asegger@uci.edu
Haoyang Sun	SEM & Sputter Coating	haoyas4@uci.edu
Dean Osilla	SEM & Energy Dispersive Spectroscopy (EDS)	dosilla@uci.edu
Lara Victorino	X-Ray Diffraction (XRD)	lhvictor@uci.edu
Kimberly Kwandy	X-Ray Diffraction (XRD)	kwandyk@uci.edu

The SEM images in Figure 3 show how the Average Grain Size (AGS) in the ceramics change as tantalum is added. As dopant percentages increases, the grain size decreases.

TIMELINE

Glidewell Senior Design Winter & Spring Quarters

Task Name	Feb		Mar				Apr				May							
	Jan 30	Feb 6	Feb 13	Feb 20	Feb 27	Mar 6	Mar 13	Mar 20	Mar 27	Apr 3	Apr 10	Apr 17	Apr 24	May 1	May 8	May 15	May 22	May 29
Nanoindentation Sample Prep	Anthea / Gabe																	
Nanoindentation	Anthea / Gabe																	
Raman Sample Prep: Sandblasting	Anthea / Gabe																	
Raman	Anthea / Gabe																	
XRD Phase 1	Kimberly / Lara																	
LTD of XRD samples	Kimberly / Lara																	
XRD Phase 2 (LTD)	Kimberly / Lara																	
SEM Sample Prep: Thermal Etch and Sputtering	Dean / Haoyang																	
SEM / EDS	Dean / Haoyang																	
Rough Draft of Technical Report	All																	
Technical Report Revisions and Edits	All																	
Final Report	All																	




Figure 1. XRD spectra of Y-TZP samples




Figure 2. XRD spectra of ATZ samples

The XRD graphs in Figures 1 and 2 show how the phases (crystal structure) in the Y-TZP and ATZ change as tantalum is added. As dopant percentage increases, the crystal structure becomes more monoclinic; this is a more stable phase.

Sample	3YC	3Y10	3Y15	3Y30	ATZ C	A10	A15	A30
AGS(μm)	0.7626	0.71785	0.569	0.56565	0.7935	0.69355	0.645	0.68495

Figure 3. SEM images of grain structures

REFERENCES

Images of teeth and samples courtesy of Glidewell.

CDC. *Oral Health Fast Facts.*

Sponchia, G. *Orthorhombic phase stabilization and transformation phase process in zirconia tantalum-doped powders and spark plasma sintering systems.*